

# Independent Credit Evaluation of Residual debt as per Resolution plan



[Issued in September 2022]

## Background:

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) had introduced various schemes from time to time aimed at resolution of stressed assets in the economy (e.g., Corporate Debt Restructuring, Revitalising distressed assets – Joint Lenders’ Forum (JLF) and Corrective Action Plan (CAP), Flexible restructuring of long-term project loans, strategic debt restructuring, etc.). With introduction of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (IBC), all the previous circulars / schemes were substituted with a simplified generic framework for the resolution of stressed assets.

On February 12, 2018, RBI issued a revised framework for resolution of stressed assets and later, on June 7, 2019, RBI issued a circular introducing the ‘Prudential framework for resolution of stressed assets’. The framework applies to a large universe of lenders, including NBFCs and small finance banks. As per the circular, the decision to take defaulters to the bankruptcy court is voluntary for lenders and all lenders must put in place Board-approved policies for resolution of stressed assets, including the timelines for resolution. In case a borrower is reported to be in default by any of the lenders, lenders shall undertake a prima facie review of the borrower’s account within thirty days from such default. Also, disincentives such as additional provisioning for resolution delays, and penal provisions were introduced for the lenders.

In the same circular, it was stipulated that for the resolution plan for stressed accounts having exposure in excess of Rs.100 crore, which involve restructuring or change in ownership, the banks would have to get an independent credit evaluation (ICE) of the residual debt by an authorized Credit Rating Agency (CRA), and cases where the exposure is over Rs.500 crore, the ICE has to be undertaken by at least two CRAs. CARE Ratings has been authorized by RBI to undertake ICE for stressed assets under the framework.

The eligible borrowers have to apply for restructuring to lending institutions by submitting a resolution plan. The lending institutions are required to frame board-approved policies within the contours of the RBI circular to approve or reject such plans. The plan is said to be approved, or the resolution is said to be invoked when 75% by value and 60% by number of lenders agree to the resolution plan. Post approval, there is a process of signing of Inter creditor agreement within 30 days. The date of implementation of the plan has to be within 180 days of the date of invocation.

This paper explains CARE Ratings’ approach for arriving at rating as per the prescribed scale for the residual debt in the resolution plan for stressed assets .

## Rating scale and definitions:

ICE Symbols	Definition
RP1	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have the highest degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry lowest credit risk.
RP2	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have high degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry very low credit risk.
RP3	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have adequate degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry low credit risk.

ICE Symbols	Definition
RP4	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have moderate degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry moderate credit risk.
RP5	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have moderate risk of default regarding timely servicing of financial obligations.
RP6	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have high risk of default regarding timely servicing of financial obligations.
RP7	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have very high risk of default regarding timely servicing of financial obligations.

### Methodology:

Traditionally, credit analysis of an entity begins with a review of the Economy/Industry in which the entity operates along with an assessment of the business risk factors specific to the entity. This is followed by an assessment of the financial risk factors and quality of management of the entity. For project-stage entities/ entities undertaking large projects, project risk is also analyzed for arriving at the entity's credit rating.

For the purpose of ICE, broadly the same methodology is used to assess with industry-specific factors pertaining to the sector in which the entity is engaged in (refer [www.careedge.in](http://www.careedge.in))

However, the main difference between traditional credit rating and ICE is that ICE is based on the 'plan' of turning around the unit which will then generate sufficient cash flow for timely servicing of the debt. Typically, the resolution plan is drafted by lenders / committee of creditors based on techno-economic viability, quantum of residual debt, which the operational cash flow from business (as well as extraordinary cash flows out of sale of non-core assets, recovery of debtors / claims, etc.) can comfortably service on time without default. It is important to note here that, similar to the debt instruments and bank facilities' rating, one day missed payment is treated as default.

The following table brings out comparative features of traditional credit rating and ICE.

Comparison of features in normal credit rating vis-à-vis ICE for residual debt:

Particulars	Conventional credit rating	ICE for Resolution Plan
Period	Credit rating is assessing credit worthiness over the life of the instrument	ICE of the residual debt considers timely payment over resolution period after implementation of resolution plan.
Continuous review	Ratings are under surveillance till full repayment of that debt	One-time exercise
Scale	CARE AAA to CARE D	RP 1 to RP 7
What does it indicate	Probability of default	Viability of the unit and adequacy of cash flow to timely service residual debt during resolution period
Rating Agreement	Rating agreement is signed by CARE Ratings with the entity to be rated	Rating agreement is signed by CARE Ratings with the lender
Information sourcing	Information sourcing is from the entity rated/to be rated	Information sourcing is generally from the lenders with need-based access to the borrower entity

### **Approach:**

Keeping in view the nuances of ICE explained above, CARE Ratings considers the following aspects.

1. Analyzing why default occurred and how those factors are addressed in the resolution plan:  
It is important to probe into the reasons why the asset turned defaulting asset in the first place, before slipping to NPA or stressed asset. It could be due to various reasons, viz., industry (down turn in industry cycle), business (availability of raw material, utilities, competition), or financial factors (capital requirements, skewed capital structure). CARE Ratings considers how those factors are addressed in the Resolution Plan so that the entity does not encounter the same stress again.
2. Management capability to turn around:  
If the failure of the business was NOT due to the existing management, and the lenders are working out turnaround / restructuring plan with the same management, it is important to examine the resourcefulness and risk appetite of the management to turn around the operations. In such case, the resolution plan may include covenants pertaining to ensure commitment of promoters, e.g., equity contribution, maintenance of Debt Service Reserve Account, etc.
3. New management's competence, relevance, resourcefulness:  
The turnaround strategy may be based on change in management. In such case, the relevant experience of the new management in the industry / sector is examined. The strategic importance of the business to the overall business philosophy of the new management, their commitment to bring in required resources, etc., are also factored in.
4. Stress testing of the cash flows as per resolution plan:  
The various assumptions underlying the financial projections and cash flows are sensitized keeping in view the current scenario and it is critically analyzed whether the same can be considered realistic over the period as per the resolution plan.
5. Liquidity backup:  
The resolution plan which stipulates maintenance of DSRA and provision to top it up in case of utilization, provides liquidity cushion to withstand unforeseen cash flow volatilities. The DSRA is built up in funded manner or as non-funded bank guarantee or promoter support.

### **Periodic monitoring of ICE**

ICE of the Resolution Plan for the stressed assets is done as a one-time exercise to be used by the lenders. As such, these ratings are not reviewed subsequently.

### **Conclusion**

The ICE outcome is ultimately an assessment of the various assumptions underlying the resolution plan and the likelihood of successful implementation of the same. CARE Ratings analyses each of the factors covering industry, business, management and financial factors and their linkages to arrive at the overall assessment of credit quality for the residual debt as per the resolution plan of the stressed asset.

**[For previous version please refer "Independent Credit Evaluation of Residual Debt" issued in [September 2020](#)]**

## CARE Ratings Limited

Corporate Office: 4th Floor, Godrej Coliseum, Somaiya Hospital Road, Off Eastern Express Highway, Sion (East), Mumbai - 400 022  
Phone : +91 - 22 - 6754 3456 | CIN: L67190MH1993PLC071691

Connect :



Locations: Ahmedabad | Andheri-Mumbai | Bengaluru | Chennai | Coimbatore | Hyderabad | Kolkata | New Delhi | Pune

### About:

CareEdge is a knowledge-based analytical group that aims to provide superior insights based on technology, data analytics and detailed research. CARE Ratings Ltd, the parent company in the group, is one of the leading credit rating agencies in India. Established in 1993, it has a credible track record of rating companies across multiple sectors and has played a pivotal role in developing the corporate debt market in India. The wholly-owned subsidiaries of CARE Ratings are (I) CARE Advisory, Research & Training Ltd, which offers customised advisory services, credible business research and analytical services (II) CARE Risk Solutions Private Ltd, which provides risk management solutions.

### Disclaimer:

The ratings issued by CARE Ratings Limited are opinions on the likelihood of timely payment of the obligations under the rated instrument and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. These ratings do not convey suitability or price for the investor. The agency does not constitute an audit on the rated entity. CARE Ratings Limited has based its ratings/outlooks based on information obtained from reliable and credible sources. CARE Ratings does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions and the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE Ratings Limited have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments. CARE Ratings Ltd. or its subsidiaries/associates may also be involved with other commercial transactions with the entity. In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE Ratings Limited is, inter-alia, based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the current financial strength of the firm. The rating/outlook may undergo a change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors. CARE Ratings Limited is not responsible for any errors and states that it has no financial liability whatsoever to the users of CARE Ratings.

Our ratings do not factor in any rating related trigger clauses as per the terms of the facility/instrument, which may involve acceleration of payments in case of rating downgrades. However, if any such clauses are introduced and if triggered, the ratings may see volatility and sharp downgrades